FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM			1999 Sess	sion				
		LRB # 99-3746/3						
⊠ ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED	INTRODUCTION	ON # AB 731					
☐ CORRECTED	☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule #		,				
Subject Child Abuse Neglect and Prevention Program								
Fiscal Effect								
State: ☐ No State Fiscal Effect								
Check columns below only if bill mak or affects a sum sufficient appr	Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☒ No							
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation☑ Create New Appropriation	☐ Decrease Costs							
Local: No local government cost	ts							
1. Increase Costs	3. Increase Re		5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:					
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory 2. ☐ Decrease Costs	/ ☐ Permissi 4. ☐ Decrease R							
2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory		<u> </u>	☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Distric	cts				
Fund Sources Affected			h. 20 Appropriations					
		SEG-S s. 20.435 (km)					
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal I	Estimate:							
This bill expands the number of counties and tribes which the Department may award grants to under its Child Abuse and Neglect Prevention Program. Currently, the Department may select no more than six rural counties, three urban counties and two Indian tribes to participate in the program. The bill increases the limit to 20 rural counties, nine urban counties and five Indian tribes. To pay the costs of this program expansion, the bill provides \$2,158,000 (TANF) in additional funding. It also sets aside \$261,000 (TANF) in supplemental funding which the Department may use for providing technical assistance to participating counties and tribes. To access this funding, the Department must submit a request to the joint finance committee which includes a plan for providing the assistance. The Department is responsible for administering and monitoring the Child Abuse and Neglect Prevention Program. Specifically, this involves: providing competitive application procedures; ranking applicants for selection; providing requirements and procedures for grant renewals; and monitoring grant recipients for compliance with the program's statutory requirements. In addition, Department staff provide training and technical assistance for program participants. Currently, the Department uses 1.0 FTE to carryout these duties. By tripling the number of grant recipients under the Child Abuse Neglect and Prevention Program, the bill creates an additional administrative workload for DHFS. Based on current workload, and taking into account that many policies and procedures are already established, it is estimated that the Department would require an additional 1.0 FTE Program and Planning Analyst 4 to meet its administrative responsibilities under the bill's expansion of the program. This position would have an annual ongoing cost of \$44,900 and a one-time cost of \$5,500. The position could be TANF-funded since it would be administering a TANF-funded program.								
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:								
	Name I a co	d:d:	none No. Date					
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency	Name Auth	norized \$ignature / Tellepl						
DHFS/OSF Jason Witt, 266-9364	Joh	n Kiesow, Exec. Asst.	, 266-0667 02/24/00					

A Section 1. A sec						
FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET		1999 Session				
☑ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED	Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect LRB # 99-3746/3 INTRODUCTION # SB 731				Admin. Rule #	
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL						
Subject Child Abuse Neglect and F	Prevention Progra	am				
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impa	acts for State and/o	or Local Governmen	t (do not include	in annualized	fiscal effect):	
One-time costs for 1.0 FTE Program a	nd Planning Analyst 4	= \$5,500			4	
II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from: Increased Costs Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes			\$ 41,800	\$		
(FTE Position Changes)			(1.0 .	FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations - Other Costs			3,100		-	
Local Assistance			2,158,000).	. •	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					•	
TOTAL State Costs by	Category		\$ 2,202,900	\$	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
B. State Costs by Source of Fun	ds		Increased C	osts	Decreased Costs	
GPR			\$	\$		
FED					_	
PRO/PRS			2,202,900)	-	
SEG/SEG-S					-	
State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)			Increased F	Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes	x increase, decrease in	icense lee, etc.)	\$	\$		
GPR Earned					_	
FED					-	
PRO/PRS					-	
SEG/SEG-S					-	
TOTAL State Revenues			\$	\$	-	
	NET ANNUAL	LIZED FISCAL IMP STATE	PACT	LOC	AL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	2,202,900	\$		0	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	0	\$		0	
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency N	lame	Authorized Signatur	V —		Date /	
DHFS/OSF Jason Witt, 266-9364	F(l/20) ec. Asst., 266-06	67	021/4/00			

DHFS/OSF Jason Witt, 266-9364